The effect of squat-based strength training on the morphology of the vastus medialis muscle

Jakub Lachcik iD **1ABD, Jonatan Helbin** iD **2AB, Edward Saulicz 1ABCD, Michał Krzysztofik** iD **2AD**

1 Institute of Physiotherapy and Health Sciences, Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education, ul. Mikolowska
72b, 40-065 Katowice, Poland;
2 Institute of Sport Sciences, Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education in Katowice, ul. Mikolowska 72a, 40-065 Katowice, Poland;

Authors' Contribution: A – Study Design, B – Data Collection, C – Statistical Analysis, D – Manuscript Preparation, E – Funds Collection

_____

Abstract: This study aimed to examine the effects of a six-week strength-training program consisting of barbell and isometric squats on suprapatellar thigh circumference and vastus medialis obliquus (VMO) thickness in both lower limbs. Thirty participants (aged 18–24 years) were recruited for the study. Over six weeks (12 sessions), 17 participants completed a lower-limb strength-training protocol centered on squats; seven performed barbell squats, whereas ten performed isometric squats. The remaining 13 participants served as controls. The suprapatellar thigh circumference and ultrasound-derived VMO thickness were measured bilaterally at baseline and after the intervention. Ultrasonography was performed in three positions: supine, standing, and half-squat. The six-week lower-limb strength-training intervention in the experimental group produced a statistically significant bilateral increase in suprapatellar thigh circumference (p<0.001). VMO thickness also increased significantly in the supine (right leg, p<0.05; left leg, p<0.01) and half-squat (right leg, p<0.001; left leg, p<0.01) positions. The mode of squatting (barbell vs. isometric) did not differentially influence the VMO morphology.

_____

Corresponding author: Jakub Lachcik, e-mail: jakub.lachcik01@gmail.com

_____

_____

INTRODUCTION

Proper functioning of the knee joint largely depends on the harmonious cooperation of the thigh muscles in the form of synergy, syntony, and synchrony. Within the quadriceps femoris, a special role is indicated in the medial head mechanism. The head is often treated as a separate muscle unit referred to as the vastus medialis (VM) [1]. Anatomically, the vastus medialis muscle consists of a longitudinal part (vastus medialis longus [VML]) and an oblique part [2,3] (vastus medialis obliquus [VMO]), which perform different functions and are mainly responsible for generating the force needed to extend the knee, while the VMO stabilizes the patella [1]. The stability and proper movement of the patella in the patellofemoral joint, i.e. the so-called "tracking", are largely maintained by the dynamic balance between the VMO, rectus femoris (RF) and vastus lateralis (VL) [4–6]. Preferential strengthening of the VMO has been proposed to restore the previously described muscle imbalance and enhance dynamic medial stabilization of the patella [7]. VMO contains a higher percentage of fast-twitch fibers than the VL [8]. Consequently, VMO fibers exhibit low oxidative capacity but high force-generating potential [9]. Owing to this predominance of fast-twitch fibers, the VMO is particularly susceptible to strength training-induced hypertrophy [10]. In contrast, the VM has shorter muscle fibers that experience greater tensile stress and more frequent microtrauma during contraction. The VM also transmits force along a different vector than the VL, a distinction that may further increase its hypertrophic potential relative to the VL [11,12]. Appropriately targeted lower-limb resistance training can therefore induce VMO hypertrophy and restore dynamic balance among muscles that control patellar tracking.

Squats are among the most widely used exercises for strengthening and activating the lower limb muscles, and gains in muscle strength constitute a key factor in injury prevention [13,14]. Moreover, squats elicit substantial activation of the VM while maintaining joint safety through co-contraction of the surrounding musculature, which dynamically stabilizes the knee [15,16]. Additionally, variations in squat technique or load placement can alter muscle recruitment patterns [17]. The multi-joint movement pattern of the squat challenges the neuromuscular system, as coordinated action of the hip and knee joints is required to perform the movement [18]. An additional factor that complicates exercise is the type of work (concentric, isometric, eccentric) of muscles running through more than one joint, which increases the complexity of the exercise compared to exercises performed in an open kinematic chain [18]. One of the most popular forms of squat exercise used in strength training is the barbell squat, which is commonly used to increase the strength of the lower limb muscles and their hypertrophy [19]. Many training programs incorporate either dynamic or isometric squats [20,21]. Because isometric contractions develop joint-angle–specific force, whereas dynamic barbell squats distribute loading across the range of motion with phase-dependent recruitment, these modalities are expected to differ in their neuromuscular and morphological effects; nonetheless, they are often combined in practice[17,22,23]. The review by Oranchuk et al. [22] shows that there are different neuromuscular properties between two types of isometric contractions: "pushing isometric muscle action" type (i.e. exerting force on a stationary object) and "holding isometric muscle action" (maintaining the position of the joint against an external force). The significant advantages of isometric training include generating greater force in the trained joint angle compared with classical strength training, immediate analgesic effect of this type of contraction, and precise control of force in the painless range of motion, which may be particularly useful in rehabilitation [22,24].

Despite the widespread use of squats in both athletic conditioning and rehabilitation, conclusive data comparing the efficacy of isometric and dynamic squat modalities in producing muscle-specific adaptations in the VMO are still lacking. Accordingly, additional research is required to furnish practitioners with evidence-based

guidance on selecting the most effective training method for inducing selective VMO hypertrophy. Such knowledge could help optimize training and rehabilitation protocols, particularly for injury prevention and the management of patellofemoral pain syndrome.

Ultrasonography is well suited for this purpose because it enables the real-time assessment of muscle morphology in multiple joint positions. In this setting, an ultrasonographically detected increase in muscle thickness signifies concentric contraction, whereas a decrease indicates eccentric contraction. In summary, to date, no study has directly compared dynamic barbell and isometric squat training on VMO morphology using ultrasonography across functional positions (supine, standing, half-squat).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate changes in suprapatellar thigh circumference and VMO thickness, measured ultrasonographically in supine, standing, and half-squat positions, before and after a six-week training program consisting of either dynamic or isometric barbell squats.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Design

The study was authorized by the Bioethics Committee for Scientific Studies at the Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education in Katowice (No. 02/2023). All study procedures were performed according to the Helsinki Declaration of Human Rights of 1975, amended in 1983. All participants gave their consent to participate after being informed of the study objectives and procedures. The research was completely voluntary and completely anonymous at the stage of collecting and processing the results.

Participants

The study involved a group of 33 female and male students of physical education, coaching, and physiotherapy at the Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education in Katowice (Poland), aged 18–24 years. The subjects were divided into two groups: experimental and control. The inclusion criteria for both groups were good general health, no injuries or musculoskeletal injuries in the preceding 12 months, no medical contraindications to moderately intensive physical exercise, and written informed consent to participate in the study. First, subjects were recruited from the experimental group. For this purpose, information about the planned experiment was posted on the Jerzy Kukuczka Academy of Physical Education campus. Of the 25 participants who declared their willingness to participate in the study, 20 met the inclusion criteria. The participants in the control group were recruited in a similar manner. Of the people who declared their willingness to participate in the study, 13 met the inclusion criteria. In the experimental group, three people were unable to participate in all planned training sessions due to unforeseen health reasons (a cold) and were therefore not included in the final analysis. In the control group, all participants who participated in the initial measurement completed the final measurement. Finally, the results of 17 and 13 participants from the experimental and control groups, respectively, were included in the statistical analysis. The characteristics of the participants from both groups and the inter-group comparisons are presented in Table 3.

Randomization

Randomization consisted of participants drawing cards bearing the name of the group. Participants who drew the name "barbell squat" were assigned to experimental group A. Participants who drew a card with the name "isometric squat" were assigned to Experimental Group B. Random allocation of participants to groups was carried out by a person who neither took part in the study nor conducted the strength-training.
Circumference measurements and ultrasound assessments of vastus medialis thickness were performed by investigators experienced in such procedures (JL and ES), who were

blinded to group allocation. The same investigators performed the final tests and remained unaware of each participant's group affiliation (experimental groups A or B, or the control group). The results were recorded directly from the ultrasound monitor onto specially designed data sheets by a person who did not perform ultrasound measurements.

Research methodology

Before the study began, the subjective sense of the level of habitual physical activity was assessed. For this purpose, the Baecke questionnaire was used [25].

In each subject, thigh circumference was measured twice, one week before the start of the training intervention and one week after its completion, at a distance of 6 cm from the base of the patella [26]. Measurements were performed on both the lower limbs in a relaxed supine position. At the same time, the VMO thickness was measured in both lower limbs. The thickness of this muscle was assessed using an ultrasound system (SonoScape, E2) with a 4-16 MHz linear transducer. Before the measurement, the location where the ultrasound probe was applied was precisely determined by marking a point located 2 cm above the base of the patella [27] during isometric tension of the thigh muscles. Half of the VMO width was determined at the level of the previously marked point above the patella and this location was marked with a line. In subsequent measurements, the ultrasound probe was applied perpendicular to the long axis of the limb, such that the marked location was located halfway along the applied probe. Ultrasound measurements of VMO thickness were performed on both lower limbs in a relaxed supine position, in a relaxed standing position with feet hip-width apart, and in half squats. Each measurement in all positions and on both limbs was repeated three times, and the average value of these three measurements was used for further statistical analyses [28]. In order to maintain randomness in the order of measurements, they were performed in such a way that if the measurement was started from, for example, the right lower limb, then in the next examined person the first measurement was performed on the left lower limb [29]. This research procedure was performed by members of the research team (JL and ES) who had the appropriate qualifications to perform ultrasound examinations and many years of experience in ultrasound assessment of muscles.

Methodology of the strength training

During the 6-week training program, 12 sessions were conducted. There was a 2-day break between subsequent training sessions. Each training session, lasting 90 min, began with a 15-min warm-up. The warm-up consisted of subjects riding a stationary bike for 5 min and performing a series of preliminary squats. The actual training phase lasted 65 min. During the first training session, the correct technique for performing a barbell squat (experimental group A) and an isometric squat (experimental group B) was demonstrated. After finding the right individual rhythm and range of the squat, training in Experimental Group A consisted of performing a barbell squat on the back. In experimental group B, strength training of the lower limb muscles was performed using an

Table 1. Load progression in the dynamic and isometric squat in experimental groups A and B

Exercise	week 1	week 2	week 3	week 4	week 5	week 6
Experimental Group A	4x8 70%1RM[1] 2/0/1/1[2]	4x8 75%1RM[1] 2/0/1/1[2]	4x6 80%1RM[1] 2/0/1/1[2]	4x4 82.5%1RM[1] 2/0/1/1[2]	4x5 82.5%1RM[1] 2/0/1/1[2]	4x5 85%1RM[1] 2/0/1/1[2]
Experimental Group B	4x(3x3") 80%MVIC[3]	4x(3x3") 90%MVIC[3]	4x(4x3") 95%MVIC[3]	4x(4x3") 100%MVIC[3]	4x(5x3") 100%MVIC[3]	4x(5x4") 100%MVIC[3]

[1] One repetition maximum (1RM), [2] Tempo of movement eccentric/isometric/concentric/isometric, [3] Maximum voluntary isometric contraction

Table 2. Structure of the lower limb resistance training program used in both experimental groups.

Exercise	sets	reps	tempo	exercise intensity	rest
Squat variation	4	According to the Tab. 1	According to the Tab. 1	According to the plan	3'
Romanian deadlift	4	8	2/0/1/1[2]	RPE[1] 7-8	2'
Rear foot elevated split squat	3	10 each side	2/0/1/1[2]	RPE[1] 8-9	2'
Machine lying leg curl	3	10	2/0/1/1[2]	RPE[1] 8-9	2'
Standing calf raise	3	10	2/0/1/1[2]	RPE[1] 8-9	2'

[1]Rating of Perceived Exertion, [2]Tempo of movement eccentric/isometric/concentric/isometric

isometric squat in which the participants assumed a squat position (90° of knee flexion) and pressed against a stationary barbell to move it. Participants were randomly allocated to two subgroups. Group A performed dynamic barbell back squats only, and Group B performed isometric squats at 90° knee flexion only, according to the schedule (Table 1).

Statistical analysis

To assess the homogeneity of the groups for quantitative characteristics, the t-test for independent samples and the U-Mann-Whitney Test (in the case of deviation of the distribution of the studied characteristics from the normal distribution) were used, as well as the Chi2 test for comparisons of qualitative characteristics. The normality of data distribution was checked using Shapiro–Wilk tests. The assessment of the effects of strength training was made using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures with between subjects factor being group (experimental vs. control) and within subjects factor being study ("baseline" vs. "final"). Additionally, the effect of the main exercise variant was analyzed (barbell squat—Experimental Group A; isometric squat—Experimental Group B). When statistical significance for the main effect was achieved, pairwise comparisons were performed using the post-hoc Tukey test. Significance for statistical tests was set a priori at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The comparison of both groups (Table 3) did not show any statistically significant differences in basic demographic data (sex) and biometric data (age, body mass and height, BMI) between the subjects participating in the strength-training program and the subjects from the control group. The level of habitual physical activity was similar between groups. Therefore, it can be stated that both groups were homogeneous in this respect. This allowed us to ignore the influence of sex, body mass, height, and possible overweight and obesity on the circumference of the thighs above the knee and the thickness of the VMO. Additionally, comparisons between the experimental groups (A and B) did not reveal any significant differences in age ($p=0.409$), body mass ($p=0.923$), height ($p=0.889$), and BMI ($p=0.815$). Both experimental groups were homogeneous with respect to sex ($p =0.761$) and habitual physical activity ($p =0.982$).

The mean values of above-knee thigh circumference for both (experimental and control) and the ANOVA test results are presented in Table 4. Analogous results for both experimental groups (A and B) are presented in Table 6. Post hoc analysis showed that there were no statistically significant differences between the lower limbs. Significant intergroup differences were observed at the final examination. In both the lower limbs, larger circumferences were recorded in the experimental group ($p<0.01$ in the right limb ($p<0.001$ in the left limb). In the experimental group, there was a therapy effect, in which

the increase in circumference by an average of 2.35 cm in the right limb and by 2.59 cm in the left limb proved to be statistically significant (p<0.001 in the post hoc test). In the control group, no significant differences were observed between the 1st and 2nd measurement (p=0.802 – right lower limb, p=0.535 – left lower limb). Comparisons of analogous circumference measurements between subjects from both experimental groups (A and B) (Table 6) in post hoc analysis showed significant intragroup differences between initial and final measurements for both the right and left lower limbs (group A: p<0.001 for right leg and p<0.01 and accordingly for group B: p<0.01 for both lower limbs). In both studies (initial and final) no intergroup differences were observed.

The mean values of the VMO thickness measurements in both studies (initial and final) and in the analyzed reference systems (resting supine position, free-standing position, and half-squat) for the experimental and control groups are presented in Table 5. Post hoc analysis showed a significant increase in VMO thickness in both lower limbs in the experimental group (p<0.05, right side; p<0.001, left side), which resulted in the emergence of a statistically significant difference between the experimental and control groups (post hoc test: p<0.01, right side; p<0.001, left side). In the standing position, analysis of variance for repeated measurements did not show significant intra- and intergroup differentiation in either study. However, the effect of strength training was clearly visible in the functional test, which was performed in the half-squat position. In the post hoc analysis, it was shown that the significant effect of measurement and interaction between measurement and group was associated with a statistically significant increase in VMO thickness on both the right and left sides (thickness increase by 3.25 mm on the right side, p<0.001 and by 3.7 mm on the left side, p<0.001). As a result, between the groups (experimental and control) in the final examination, a statistically significant greater thickness of the analyzed muscles was noted in the experimental group on the right side by 3.11 mm (p<0.001) and on the left side by 2.41 mm (p<0.05). Analysis of the results obtained in both experimental groups (A and B; Table 7) showed a similar effect on changes in VMO thickness in both lower limbs. In the supine position, only the left side was confirmed in the post hoc analysis (p<0.01 in both groups). In the standing position, no significant effect of the applied methods on shaping VMO strength and mass was observed. This effect was noted in the thicknesses of the vastus medialis obliquus measured in the half-squat position on both sides of the body in the group of people performing isometric squats (thickness increase of 3.46 mm on the right side, p<0.05 and 4.23 mm on the left side, p<0.01). The statistical significance of the analogous increases in VMO thickness of 2.93 mm on the right side and 2.96 mm on the left side in the half-squat position, recorded in people performing barbell squats, was not confirmed statistically. No significant intergroup differences were observed in either the initial or final study.

Table 3. Demographic data of the participants in the Experimental and Control Group

Variable	Experimental Group (n=17)	Control Group (n=13)	Statistic
Women (%)	3 (17.65)	3 (23.08)	χ^2=0.135[1]
Men (%)	14 (82.35)	10 (76.92)	p=0.713
Age ± SD [years]	20.7 ±1.8	21.6 ±1.7	t=-1.562[2]
Range	18-24	19-24	p=0.130
Weight ± SD [kg]	80.6 ±9.1	76.9 ±11.5	t=0.988[2]
Range	62-96.3	60-103	p=0.331
Height ± SD [cm]	179.3 ±6.5	177.8 ±8.9	t=0.542[2]
Range	167-190	164-197	p=0.592
BMI ± SD [kg/m^2]	25.04 ±2.1	24.25 ±2.3	t=0.989[2]
Range	21.5-28.23	19.84-27-14	p=0.330
IHPA ± SD [pkt]	10.2 ±0.8	9.9 ±1.3	U=0.356[3]
Range	8.5-11.5	6.88-12.0	p=0.722

IHPA - Index of habitual physical activity, [1] Chi^2 test, [2] t test for independent samples, [3] U-Mann-Whitney test

Table 4. The results of measurements circumference of the thigh above the knee in the Experimental and Control Group

C	Experimental Group		Control Group		ANOVA		
	Initial M	Final M	Initial M	Final M	Main effect		
	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	Group	M	Interaction
on the right side	44.65 ±3.3 42.96-46.34	47.0 ±3.2 45.35-48.65	45.04 ±3.2 43.11-46.96	45.46 ±4.2 42.93-47.99	F=0.216 p=0.646	F=20.043 p<0.001*	F=9.687 p=0.004*
on the left side	44.44 ±2.8 43.0-45.88	47.03 ±2.6 45.69-48.37	44.5 ±2.8 42.81-46.19	45.12 ±3.2 43.2-47.04	F=0.864 p=0.361	F=28.341 p<0.001*	F=10.748 p=0.002*

C - Circumference, Initial M - initial measurement, Final M - final measurement, M – Measurement,
* statistically significant

Table 5. The results of thickness of m. vastus medialis obliquus in the Experimental and Control Group

BP	Experimental Group		Control Group		ANOVA		
	Initial M	Final M	Initial M	Final M	Main effect		
	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	Group	M	Interaction
Supine position right side	30.87 ±3.5 29.08-32.67	33.21 ±3.7 31.3-35.11	30.09 ±6.4 26.22-33.96	30.29 ±6.7 26.21-34.37	F=1.073 p=0.309	F=4.757 p=0.038*	F=3.373 p=0.077
Supine position left side	30.36 ±4.1 28.27-32.45	33.9 ±2.9 32.41-35.4	31.12 ±6.3 27.34-34.88	30.42 ±6.4 26.57-34.26	F=0.605 p=0.443	F=11.021 p=0.002*	F=24.424 p<0.001*
Standing right side	33.99 ±4.2 31.84-36.14	35.16 ±3.1 33.55-36.77	31.62 ±5.1 28.52-34.72	31.74 ±5.5 28.43-35.05	F=3.317 p=0.079	F=2.491 p=0.126	F=1.638 p=0.211
Standing – left side	34.1 ±4.4 31.9-36.35	34.8 ±3.3 33.13-36.48	32.57 ±5.3 29.4-35.74	32.73 ±5.1 29.69-35.78	F=1.270 p=0.269	F=1.298 p=0.264	F=0.496 p=0.487
Squat right side	33.01 ±3.1 31.44-34.59	36.26 ±2.5 34.97-37.54	32.58 ±5.5 29.24-35.91	33.11 ±6.1 29.45-36.78	F=1.366 p=0.252	F=16.349 p<0.001*	F=8.368 p=0.007*
Squat left side	32.24 ±3.7 30.32-34.16	35.94 ±2.6 34.61-37.28	34.02 ±4.9 31.01-37.03	33.53 ±5.7 30.1-36.96	F=0.046 p=0.832	F=9.248 p=0.005*	F=15.784 p<0.001*

BP - Body position/activity , Initial M - initial measurement, Final M - final measurement, M - Measurement
* statistically significant

Table 6. The results of measurements circumference of the thigh above the knee in experimental groups: A (barbell squats) and B (isometric squats)

C	Experimental Group A (barbell squats)		Experimental Group B (isometric squats)		ANOVA		
	Initial M	Final M	Initial M	Final M	Main effect		
	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	Group	M	Interaction
on the right side	44.71 ±3.3 41.63-47.8	47.86 ±4.1 44.1-51.61	44.6 ±3.4 42.15-47.05	46.4 ±2.5 44.6-48.2	F=0.246 p=0.627	F=40.832 p<0.001*	F=3.014 p=0.103
on the left side	44.36 ±2.5 42.03-46.68	47.5 ±3.1 44.65-50.35	44.5 ±3.1 42.28-46.72	46.7 ±2.3 45.04-48.36	F=0.065 p=0.803	F=36.014 p<0.001*	F=1.122 p=0.306

C - Circumference, Initial M - initial measurement, Final M - final measurement, M – Measurement,
* statistically significant

Table 7. The results of thickness of m. vastus medialis obliquus in experimental groups: A (barbell squats) and B (isometric squats)

BP	Experimental Group A (barbell squats)		Experimental Group B (isometric squats)		ANOVA		
	Initial M	Final M	Initial M	Final M	Main effect		
	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	mean±SD 95% CI	Group	M	Interaction
Supine position right side	30.61 ±4.1 26.46-34.75	33.21 ±5.0 28.57-37.85	31.06 ±2.9 29.01-33.11	33.2 ±2.8 31.22-35.18	F=0.020 p=0.889	F=6.055 p=0.026*	F=0.055 p=0.817
Supine position left side	30.46 ±4.1 26.72-34.2	34.58 ±3.1 31.72-37.43	30.29 ±4.3 27.23-33.35	33.43 ±2.8 31.39-35.47	F=0.158 p=0.697	F=27.642 p<0.001*	F=0.502 p=0.489
Standing right side	34.20 ±4.5 30.0-38.4	35.81 ±3.6 32.44-39.18	33.84 ±4.2 30.87-36.81	34.71 ±2.8 32.68-36.74	F=0.172 p=0.684	F=3.588 p=0.078	F=0.321 p=0.579
Standing left side	34.58 ±4.5 30.38-38.78	35.61 ±3.6 32.31-38.91	33.76 ±4.5 30.56-36.95	34.24 ±3.1 32.02-36.46	F=0.353 p=0.561	F=1.522 p=0.236	F=0.196 p=0.664
Squat right side	33.29 ±3.7 29.9-36.67	36.22 ±2.3 34.1-38.35	32.82 ±2.8 30.84-34.81	36.28 ±2.8 34.31-38.25	F=0.029 p=0.867	F=17.802 p<0.001*	F=0.119 p=0.735
Squat left side	33.4 ±3.7 29.99-36.81	36.36 ±1.7 34.76-37.95	31.42 ±3.7 28.76-34.09	35.65 ±3.1 33.42-37.89	F=0.971 p=0.340	F=19.066 p<0.001*	F=0.602 p=0.449

BP - Body position/activity , Initial M - initial measurement, Final M - final measurement, M - Measurement
* statistically significant

DISCUSSION

The present findings confirm that a six-week training intervention aimed at increasing lower limb strength and muscle mass, with squats as the primary exercise, induces significant morphological changes in the VMO. This aligns with the results of Khoshkhoo et al. [30], who reported a significant increase in the mean VMO pennation angle after a 6-week program. A literature review by Counts et al. [31] revealed substantial differences in reported rates of muscle hypertrophy. For the lower limbs, some studies found no significant changes in muscle size even after 5–12 weeks of strength training [32,33], whereas others recorded noticeable hypertrophy after only 3–4 weeks of resistance exercise [34–38]. The earliest macroscopic signs of muscle hypertrophy were observed after only 20 days (nine training sessions) in one study [12]. VMO thickness was measured using ultrasonography, a safe, non-invasive, effective, and accurate method for assessing VMO architecture [39,40], which is also less expensive and more accessible than other techniques used to evaluate muscle hypertrophy [41,42].

According to scientific reports, measurements intended to detect hypertrophy must account for the acute physiological processes elicited by resistance training that generate an inflammatory response [43]. These reactions temporarily increase muscle volume and distort hypertrophy measurements [44]. Therefore, all assessments were carried out one week before the intervention and one week after its completion. Both suprapatellar thigh circumference and ultrasonographically measured VMO thickness in the supine and half-squat positions increased significantly in the experimental group. Subgroup analysis showed a significant increase in the supine position on the left side in both subgroups, whereas right-side changes did not reach statistical significance. The absence of significant differences in the control group allowed us to attribute the observed increases in muscle thickness and thigh circumference solely to applied training stimuli.

Analysis of the images obtained at the three test positions revealed clear position-specific adaptations. In the relaxed standing position, no significant architectural changes were observed, possibly because this posture provides a less precise mapping of VMO activation and is more influenced by whole-body stabilizing mechanisms, which can mask local changes in a single muscle. In contrast, the relatively small knee flexion angle in the half-squat position produced the greatest increase in the muscle thickness, indicating that the VMO responds most strongly to loading within the trained joint angles, where it serves as a stabilizer of the knee joint [45]. Similar angle- and position-specific adaptations of neuromuscular patterns have also been demonstrated in studies on combat sports techniques, where kinematics and muscle activation strongly depend on the motor task and its execution conditions [46].

Moreover, because of the similarity of the test position (half-squat) to the main exercise performed, neuromuscular adaptations may have occurred, leading to stronger VMO activation during measurements in this specific position [45]. This angle-specific response also underscores several caveats of isometric training. Although isometric squats are effective for angle-specific strength gains and may induce morphological adaptations, several limitations warrant consideration [22]. Their effects are largely joint-angle specific, which can restrict transfer across the full range of motion. Moreover, isometric efforts provide little eccentric loading, which is an established hypertrophic stimulus, and they do not reproduce the velocity- and coordination-dependent demands of dynamic multi-joint movements. The half-squat position requires significant engagement of the target muscle [47], which makes it a more sensitive test for detecting differences before and after rehabilitation and training interventions. A comparison of the two exercise variants showed that an isometric squat at 90° knee flexion, characterized by a prolonged time under tension, produced a greater increase in VMO thickness in the half-squat position than in the dynamic barbell squat. Longer exposure to tension imposes a greater mechanical load on the muscle, which translates into a more pronounced increase in thickness [13]. In contrast, the variable moment of force during the dynamic squat distributes the load across a larger number of structures, potentially limiting the selective adaptation of a single muscle. Although the absolute increases were similar in both subgroups, those observed in the dynamic barbell squat group did not reach statistical significance. This should not be taken as evidence of greater effectiveness of the isometric variation, as between-subgroup differences were not significant and power to detect small effects was limited due to the small sample sizes of the subgroups. A review by Soares et al. [48] showed that higher-intensity training protocols, ranging from 70–80 % of 1RM, produced better results for muscle-thickness gain. In our study, participants performed dynamic squats at 70–85 % 1RM, whereas isometric squats were carried out in line with guidelines for enhancing explosive strength [24]. This approach yielded a satisfactory increase in muscle thickness. From a practical standpoint, it is important that the observed gains were essentially symmetrical; although slightly greater increases were noted on the left side in both the supine and half-squat positions, these differences were not statistically significant. Accordingly, both squat variations can be used to promote symmetrical hypertrophy, which is an important factor in preventing knee joint dysfunction and lower limb muscle imbalances. The importance of common methodological frameworks in physical activity sciences has also been underlined in recent works that emphasize the need for consensus and standardization of research tools [49].

LIMITATION

This study has several limitations that should temper interpretation. The experimental subgroups were small and women were under-represented, which limits statistical power and the generalisability of the findings. All participants were healthy, physically active young adults; therefore, the results should not be directly extrapolated to populations with disease-related muscular deficits. The intervention lasted only six weeks

with no post-intervention follow-up, so the durability and functional relevance of the observed changes remain uncertain. Both experimental subgroups completed an identical accessory programme alongside the assigned squat variation, which limits the ability to isolate the independent effect of squat modality on VMO morphology; accordingly, between-modality comparisons should be regarded as exploratory and underpowered. Previous physical activity was not objectively controlled beyond the Baecke questionnaire, so unmeasured training loads or lifestyle factors may have influenced adaptations. Ultrasonography quantified VMO thickness as a surrogate of muscle size; other architectural variables (e.g., fascicle length, pennation angle) and tendon or functional outcomes were not assessed. Taken together, these limitations support cautious interpretation and highlight the need for larger, sex-balanced trials with longer follow-up—including clinical cohorts with knee joint pathologies—and study designs that better isolate the squat component; given that this is among the first studies to track position-specific morphological adaptations, confirmatory research is warranted.

CONCLUSION

In healthy young adults, a 6-week squat-based program was associated with small-to-moderate increases in VMO thickness, most evident in the half-squat position. Subgroup differences between dynamic and isometric squats were not significant; therefore, any apparent advantage of the isometric variant should be considered tentative. From a practical standpoint, dynamic barbell squats should remain the primary option when the goal is strength and hypertrophy across the range of motion (ROM) and transfer to athletic tasks. Isometric squats at 90° knee flexion may be a pragmatic, joint-friendly alternative when pain, instability, or ROM limitations preclude dynamic loading, or when angle-specific force and joint control are prioritized; they require minimal equipment and may reduce peak knee shear forces [50]. Coaches may alternate or combine both modalities within a training cycle based on constraints and goals.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Castanov V, Hassan SA, Shakeri S, Vienneau M, Zabjek K, Richardson D, McKee NH, Agur AMR. Muscle architecture of vastus medialis obliquus and longus and its functional implications: A three-dimensional investigation. Clin Anat. 2019; 32(4): 515-523. doi: 10.1002/ca.23344
2. Smith TO, Nichols R, Harle D, Donell ST. Do the vastus medialis obliquus and vastus medialis longus really exist? A systematic review. Clin Anat. 2009; 22(2): 183-199. doi: 10.1002/ca.20737
3. Rajput HB, Rajani SJ, Vaniya VH. Variation in morphometry of vastus medialis muscle. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017; 11(9): AC01-AC04. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2017/29162.10527
4. Ng GY, Zhang AQ, Li CK. Biofeedback exercise improved the EMG activity ratio of the medial and lateral vasti muscles in subjects with patellofemoral pain syndrome. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2008; 18(1): 128-133. doi: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2006.08.010
5. Chiu JK, Wong YM, Yung PS, Ng GY. The effects of quadriceps strengthening on pain, function, and patellofemoral joint contact area in persons with patellofemoral pain. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2012; 91(2): 98-106. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0b013e318228c505
6. Hosseini SH, Nezhad SG. Morphological differences of knee extensor muscles between women with and without lateral patellar compression syndrome. Sci J Rehabil Med. 2022; 11(5): 754-769. doi: 10.32598/SJRM.11.5.7
7. Panagiotopoulos E, Strzelczyk P, Herrmann M, Scuderi G. Cadaveric study on static medial patellar stabilizers: the dynamizing role of the vastus medialis obliquus on medial patellofemoral ligament. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2006; 14(1): 7-12. doi: 10.1007/s00167-005-0631-z

8. Travnik L, Pernus F, Erzen I. Histochemical and morphometric characteristics of the normal human vastus medialis longus and vastus medialis obliquus muscles. J Anat. 1995; 187(2): 403-411.
9. Hedayatpour N, Falla D. Non-uniform muscle adaptations to eccentric exercise and the implications for training and sport. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2012; 22(3): 329-333. doi: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2011.11.010
10. Folland JP, Williams AG. The adaptations to strength training: morphological and neurological contributions to increased strength. Sports Med. 2007; 37(2): 145-168. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200737020-00004
11. Ward SR, Eng CM, Smallwood LH, Lieber RL. Are current measurements of lower extremity muscle architecture accurate? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009; 467(4): 1074-1082. doi: 10.1007/s11999-008-0594-8
12. Seynnes OR, de Boer M, Narici MV. Early skeletal muscle hypertrophy and architectural changes in response to high-intensity resistance training. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2007; 102(1): 368-373. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00789.2006
13. Schoenfeld BJ. Squatting kinematics and kinetics and their application to exercise performance. J Strength Cond Res. 2010; 24(12): 3497-3506. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181bac2d7
14. Lauersen JB, Andersen TE, Andersen LB. Strength training as superior, dose-dependent and safe prevention of acute and overuse sports injuries: a systematic review, qualitative analysis and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2018; 52(24): 1557-1563. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2018-099078
15. Miller JP, Sedory D, Croce RV. Vastus medialis obliquus and vastus lateralis activity in patients with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. J Sport Rehabil. 1997; 6(1): 1-10.
16. Stiene HA, Brosky T, Reinking MF, Nyland J, Mason MB. A comparison of closed kinetic chain and isokinetic joint isolation exercise in patients with patellofemoral dysfunction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1996; 24(3): 136-141. doi: 10.2519/jospt.1996.24.3.136
17. Stastny, P., Lehnert, M., Zaatar, A. M., Svoboda, Z., Xaverova, Z. Does the dumbbell-carrying position change the muscle activity in split squats and walking lunges?. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 2015; 29(11), 3177-3187.
18. Robertson DG, Wilson JM, St Pierre TA. Lower extremity muscle functions during full squats. J Appl Biomech. 2008; 24(4): 333-339. doi: 10.1123/jab.24.4.333
19. Clark DR, Lambert MI, Hunter AM. Muscle activation in the loaded free barbell squat: a brief review. J Strength Cond Res. 2012; 26(4): 1169-1178. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31822d533d
20. Saeterbakken AH, Stien N, Paulsen G, Behm DG, Andersen V, Solstad TEJ, Prieske O. Task specificity of dynamic resistance training and its transferability to non-trained isometric muscle strength: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Sports Med. 2025; (in press). doi: 10.1007/s40279-025-02225-2
21. James LP, Weakley J, Comfort P, Huynh M. The relationship between isometric and dynamic strength following resistance training: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and level of agreement. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2023; 19(1): 2-12. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2023-0066
22. Oranchuk DJ, Storey AG, Nelson AR, Cronin JB. Isometric training and long-term adaptations: effects of muscle length, intensity, and intent: a systematic review. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2019; 29(4): 484-503. doi: 10.1111/sms.13375
23. Kalinowski, R., Pisz, A., Kolinger, D., Wilk, M., Stastny, P., Krzysztofik, M. Acute effects of combined isometric and plyometric conditioning activities on sports performance and tendon stiffness in female volleyball players. Frontiers in Physiology, 2022; 13, 1025839.
24. Lum D, Barbosa TM. Brief review: effects of isometric strength training on strength and dynamic performance. Int J Sports Med. 2019; 40(6): 363-375. doi: 10.1055/a-0863-4539
25. Baecke JA, Burema J, Frijters JE. A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1982; 36(5): 936-942.
26. Zembaty A, editor. Kinezyterapia. Krakow: Kasper; 2002. p. 423.
27. Kawakami Y, Muraoka Y, Kubo K, Suzuki Y, Fukunaga T. Changes in muscle size and architecture following 20 days of bed rest. J Gravit Physiol. 2000; 7(3): 53-59.
28. Högelin ER, Thulin K, von Walden F, Fornander L, Michno P, Alkner B. Reliability and Validity of an Ultrasound-Based Protocol for Measurement of Quadriceps Muscle Thickness in Children. Front Physiol. 2022; 13: 830216.
29. Brooks JL. Counterbalancing for serial order carryover effects in experimental condition orders. Psychol Methods. 2012; 17(4): 600-14.
30. Khoshkhoo M, Killingback A, Robertson CJ, Adds PJ. The effect of exercise on vastus medialis oblique muscle architecture: an ultrasound investigation. Clin Anat. 2016; 29(6): 752-758. doi: 10.1002/ca.22710

31. Counts BR, Buckner SL, Mouser JG, Dankel SJ, Jessee MB, Mattocks KT, Loenneke JP. Muscle growth: to infinity and beyond? Muscle Nerve. 2017; 56(6): 1022-1030. doi: 10.1002/mus.25696

32. Abe T, DeHoyos DV, Pollock ML, Garzarella L. Time course for strength and muscle thickness changes following upper and lower body resistance training in men and women. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2000; 81(3): 174-180. doi: 10.1007/s004210050027

33. Blazevich AJ, Gill ND, Deans N, Zhou S. Lack of human muscle architectural adaptation after short-term strength training. Muscle Nerve. 2007; 35(1): 78-86. doi: 10.1002/mus.20666

34. Lüthi JM, Howald H, Claassen H, Rösler K, Vock P, Hoppeler H. Structural changes in skeletal muscle tissue with heavy-resistance exercise. Int J Sports Med. 1986; 7(3): 123-127.

35. Narici MV, Roi GS, Landoni L, Minetti AE, Cerretelli P. Changes in force, cross-sectional area and neural activation during strength training and detraining of the human quadriceps. Eur J Appl Physiol. 1989; 59(4): 310-319.

36. Kubo K, Ikebukuro T, Yata H, Tsunoda N, Kanehisa H. Time course of changes in muscle and tendon properties during strength training and detraining. J Strength Cond Res. 2010; 24(2): 322-331. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181c865e2

37. Baroni BM, Rodrigues R, Franke RA, Geremia JM, Rassier DE, Vaz MA. Time course of neuromuscular adaptations to knee extensor eccentric training. Int J Sports Med. 2013; 34(10): 904-911. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1333263

38. Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Mitchell WK, Lund JN, Szewczyk NJ, Greenhaff PL, Smith K, Atherton PJ. Skeletal muscle hypertrophy adaptations predominate in the early stages of resistance-exercise training, matching deuterium oxide-derived measures of muscle protein synthesis and mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling. FASEB J. 2015; 29(11): 4485-4496. doi: 10.1096/fj.15-273755

39. Engelina S, Antonios T, Robertson CJ, Killingback A, Adds PJ. Ultrasound investigation of vastus medialis oblique muscle architecture: an in vivo study. Clin Anat. 2014; 27(7): 1076-1084. doi: 10.1002/ca.22413

40. Engelina S, Robertson CJ, Moggridge J, Killingback A, Adds P. Using ultrasound to measure the fibre angle of vastus medialis oblique: a cadaveric validation study. Knee. 2014; 21(1): 107-111. doi: 10.1016/j.knee.2012.07.001

41. Franchi MV, Longo S, Mallinson J, Quinlan JI, Taylor T, Greenhaff PL, Narici MV. Muscle thickness correlates to muscle cross-sectional area in the assessment of strength-training-induced hypertrophy. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2018; 28(3): 846-853. doi: 10.1111/sms.12961

42. Ema R, Wakahara T, Miyamoto N, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y. Inhomogeneous architectural changes of the quadriceps femoris induced by resistance training. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2013; 113(11): 2691-2703. doi: 10.1007/s00421-013-2700-1

43. Damas F, Phillips SM, Lixandrão ME, Vechin FC, Libardi CA, Roschel H, Tricoli V, Ugrinowitsch C. Early resistance-training-induced increases in muscle cross-sectional area are concomitant with edema-induced muscle swelling. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2016; 116(1): 49-56. doi: 10.1007/s00421-015-3243-4

44. DeFreitas JM, Beck TW, Stock MS, Dillon MA, Kasishke PR 2nd. An examination of the time course of training-induced skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2011; 111(11): 2785-2790. doi: 10.1007/s00421-011-1905-4

45. Thépaut-Mathieu C, Van Hoecke J, Maton B. Myoelectrical and mechanical changes linked to length specificity during isometric training. J Appl Physiol. 1988; 64(4): 1500-1505.

46. Mosler D, Góra T, Kaczmarski J, Błaszczyszyn M, Chociaj M, Borysiuk Z. Target Kinematic Effect in Kyokushin Karate Front Kicks: An Analysis of Velocity, Acceleration, and Muscle Activation Patterns. Phys Act Rev. 2025;13(1):156-166. doi: 10.16926/par.2025.13.14

47. Anderson R, Courtney C, Carmeli E. EMG analysis of the vastus medialis/vastus lateralis muscles utilizing the unloaded narrow- and wide-stance squats. J Sport Rehabil. 1998; 7(4): 236-247.

48. Soares ALC, Carvalho RF, Mogami R, Meirelles CM, Gomes PSC. Effect of resistance training on quadriceps femoris muscle thickness obtained by ultrasound: a systematic review with meta-analysis. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2024; 39: 270-278. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2024.02.007

49. Mekkaoui L, Potdevin F, Derigny T, Gandrieau J, Staub I, DeMartelaer K, Kovács Z, Olstad BH, Rejman M, Soares S, Vogt T. Towards a Consensus on the Development of the Aquatic Curricula Analysis Tool using an Ecosystem Approach: A Delphi Method. Phys Act Rev. 2025;13(1):141-155. doi: 10.16926/par.2025.13.13

50. Escamilla RF. Knee biomechanics of the dynamic squat exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2001; 33(1): 127-141.